

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,089		06/25/2003	Brian S. Christian	MS1-1512US	4285
22971	7590	12/18/2007		EXAMINER	
MICROSO ONE MICI		PORATION VAY			
REDMOND, WA 98052-6399		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			I. I.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Iotification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/606,089	CHRISTIAN ET	AL.					
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeffery Williams	2137						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence	address					
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>05 October 2007</u> is defective	for failure to comply with one or r	more provisions	of 37 CFR 41.37.					
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from tEXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio	ate correction (se n, whichever is I	ee MPEP onger.					
1. The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ns are not unde	r the proper					
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the s canceled), or does not identify the appealed class.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. At least one amendment has been filed subsect statement of the status of each such amendment.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
claims involved in the appeal, referring to the s by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fai appeal and for each dependent claim argued s 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set as corresponding to each claimed function with	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	a separate heading for each groun	nd of rejection on	appeal (37 CFR					
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CF	R					
other evidence entered by the examiner and re	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
 The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 								
10. Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):							

Regarding item 4: Appellant fails to provide a summary of claimed subject matter for each dependent claim that the appellant separately argues. Additionally, the summary of claimed subject matter fails, within a number of instances, to properly identify the claimed subject matter by reference to the specification by page and line number and by reference characters to the drawings, if any, as is required by 37 CFR 41.37.

Regarding item 6: Any claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number

(see M.P.E.P 1205.02).

EMMATUEL E. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER